

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,769	03/24/2004	Timothy Angus Reeve	52084-3	2768
23971 7	590 05/19/2006		EXAMINER	
BENNETT JONES			MAI, TRI M	
C/O MS ROSEANN CALDWELL 4500 BANKERS HALL EAST				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
855 - 2ND STREET, SW			3727	
CALGARY, A CANADA	AB T2P 4K7		DATE MAILED: 05/19/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ን
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,769	REEVE, TIMOTHY ANGUS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tri M. Mai	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become	ICATION. The reply be timely filed explored by the timely filed explored	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form F10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li		nt received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a in	st of the certified copies in	treceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	p(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/06/04</u>. 	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/708,769

Art Unit: 3727

- 1. Claims 9-11, and 15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 3, 1, and 5-6. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 9, 12, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Campbell (6125997). Campbell teaches a container, a bill portion, a crown portion, a door, a stabilizer, a closure. Where the bill and crown portions and the door define an internal cavity comprising a bottom surface, a top surface and sides. The cavity being sized to accommodate the plurality of billed hats as claimed.

Regarding claim 2, the term "stabilizer" is broad. The extending ribs on top of the crown, or any of the hinges at portion 42, or the inner edge of portion 16 comprises the stabilizer as claimed.

Regarding claim 3, portion 16 is the convex shape retaining element as claimed or even one surface of portion 46 is the retaining element as claimed.

Regarding claim 4, portion 46 of the door has a concave shape as claimed.

3. Claims 1-12, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Campbell in view of Friedman. To the degree it is argued that that Campbell does not teach the stabilizer. Friedman teaches that it is known in the art to provide a closure having a rim extending from the edge of the door along with a latch 14. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a stabilizer having a rim extending from the door to provide added security.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,769

Art Unit: 3727

4. Claims 13 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Campbell, as set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3, and further in view of Agostine (5022515). Agostine teaches that it is known in the art to provide a hanging loop 38. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a hanging loop to enable one to hang the device easily.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 1-12, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Briskey in view of either Solomon (4325595). Briskey teach a hat container having a bill portion Campbell teaches a container, a bill portion, 18, a crown portion, a door 28, a stabilizer, a closure. Where the bill and crown portions and the door define an internal cavity comprising a bottom surface, a top surface and sides. The cavity being sized to accommodate the plurality of billed hats as claimed. Briskey meets all claimed limitations except for the door pivotally connected. Solomon teaches that it is known in the art to provide door pivotally connected along with a stabilizing rim. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a closure having the door pivotally connected with a stabilizing rim as taught by Solomon to provide an alternative closure.
- 6. Claims 13 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Briskey rejection, as set forth in paragraph 5, and further in view of Agostine (5022515).

 Agostine teaches that it is known in the art to provide a hanging loop 38. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a hanging loop to enable one to hang the device easily.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,769 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571)272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tri M. Mai J. M. M. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727